COLLISIONAL DE-EXCITATION RATES FOR THE FIRST NEGATIVE AND SECOND POSITIVE SYSTEMS OF NITROGEN BY WATER VAPOR

Lynn Jerome Kimball



NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



THESIS

COLLISIONAL DE-EXCITATION RATES FOR THE FIRST NEGATIVE AND SECOND POSITIVE SYSTEMS OF NITROGEN BY WATER VAPOR

by

Lynn Jerome Kimball

Thesis Advisor:

E.A. Milne

December 1971

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



Collisional De-excitation Rates for the First Negative and Second Positive Systems of Nitrogen by Water Vapor

by

Lynn Jerome Kimball Captain, United States Marine Corps B.S., United States Naval Academy, 1965

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN PHYSICS

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL December 1971

ABSTRACT

Nitrogen-water vapor mixtures were excited by 1.5 MeV protons from a Van De Graaff generator. Intensity versus pressure data were recorded and plotted for the first negative $(B^2 \Sigma_{\mu}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \chi^2 \Sigma_{g}^{\prime})$ transition ($\lambda = 3914.4$ Å), and second positive $(C^3 \pi_{\mu} \longrightarrow B^3 \pi_{g})$ transition ($\lambda = 3371.3$ Å) in nitrogen. Theoretical equations were derived for the relative intensity as a function of pressure. Using these equations in conjunction with experimental data, the following reaction rates, k, given in units of cm³-sec⁻¹, and collisional deexcitation cross sections, σ , given in units of cm², were calculated: k = $8.82 \pm 3.6 \times 10^{-10}$ cm³/sec, σ = $9.83 \pm 4.0 \times 10^{-15}$ cm² for the de-excitation of the $B^2 \Sigma_{\mu}^{\prime}$ state of N₂⁺ by H₂0; k = $2.02 \pm .72 \times 10^{-10}$ cm³/sec, σ = $2.26 \pm .81 \times 10^{-15}$ cm² for the

de-excitation of the $C^3 \pi_a$ state of N₂ by H₂0.

·*.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUCTION
II.	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	
III.	THEO	DRY13
	Α.	BACKGROUND
	в.	NITROGEN WITH WATER VAPOR PRESENT16
IV.	RESU	Л.TS
	Α.	GENERAL
	в.	DISCUSSION
BIBLIOGRAPHY		
INITIA	L DI	STRIBUTION LIST
FORM L	DD 14	+73

~

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

1.	Experimental Apparatus and Associated Electronics
	Block Diagram
2.	Photograph, Target Chamber and Target Area
	Instrumentation, Front View10
3.	Photograph, Target Chamber and Target Area
	Instrumentation, Rear View10
4.	Graph, Intensity Versus Pressure; 3914\AA 4.2 Torr H_2^0 22
5.	Graph, Intensity Versus Pressure; 3914Å 11.2 Torr H ₂ 023
6.	Graph, Intensity Versus Pressure; 3914Å 15.8 Torr H ₂ 024
7.	Graph, Intensity Versus Pressure; 3914Å 22.0 Torr H ₂ 025
8.	Graph, Intensity Versus Pressure; 3371\AA 4.3 Torr H_2^0 26
9.	Graph, Intensity Versus Pressure; 3371Å 11.0 Torr H ₂ 027
10.	Graph, Intensity Versus Pressure; 3371Å 15.8 Torr H ₂ 028
11.	Graph, Intensity Versus Pressure; 3371Å 22.0 Torr H ₂ 029
12.	Graph, Pressure/Intensity Versus Pressure
	3914Å 4.2 Torr H ₂ 030
13.	Graph, Pressure/Intensity Versus Pressure
	3371Å 4.3 Тогг H ₂ 031

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Professor E.A. Milne for the theoretical and technical guidance so willingly granted during the course of this research. The author also wishes to thank the numerous technicians who contributed to this project for their outstanding technical assistance.

This research was supported in part by the Naval Ordnance Laboratory; White Oak, Maryland.

I. INTRODUCTION

Previous studies [1,2,3] have investigated the bombardment of molecular nitrogen alone and molecular nitrogen in conjunction with other gases by 1.0 to 2.0 MeV protons to determine the reaction rate coefficients and the de-excitation cross sections for the $(N_2)^*$ and $\left(N_{2}^{+}\right)^{*}$ bands. In this study, one in the series described above, an altered experimental procedure was used to investigate the quenching effects of water vapor (H_2^0) on the nitrogen gas system. A stabilized water vapor pressure was maintained in the target chamber and research grade N₂ gas was added incrementally. An attached water vapor source containing singly distilled water and evacuated to a vapor pressure consistent with the ambient temperature provided the water vapor whose pressure was stabilized by allowing it to remain in the target chamber a fixed period of time before data were taken. The experiment was repeated with different amounts of water vapor pressure in the target chamber. The water vapor pressure remained constant for each experiment while the balance of the pressure was provided by N₂ gas up to a total pressure of approximately 400 torr. The target chamber was bombarded with 1.5 MeV protons and measurements were taken for each experiment. A plot of relative intensity versus pressure then provided the information required to determine the reaction rate coefficient and the de-excitation cross section for the nitrogen first negative $(\mathcal{B}^2 \mathcal{Z}_u^{\dagger} \longrightarrow \chi^2 \mathcal{Z}_g^{\dagger})$ transition and the second positive $(\mathcal{C}^3 \mathcal{I}_{\mu} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}^3 \mathcal{I}_{q})$ transition. The de-excitation reactions are, respectively, $(N_2^+)^* + H_2^0 \rightarrow N_2 + H_2^0 +$ energy and $(N_2)^* + H_2^0 \rightarrow N_2 + H_2^0 + energy$.

the second se

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

A 2.0 MeV Van De Graaff electrostatic generator was used to accelerate protons into a target chamber where the gas pressure could be varied from a minimum value of about 2×10^{-6} torr to 800 torr. A block diagram of the experimental apparatus and associated electronic circuits is shown in Figure 1. The target chamber, a pyrex glass tee, was separated from the drift tube environment by a one-half mill aluminum foil window which reduced the proton beam energy to 1.25 MeV [2]. The aluminum window permitted the target gas pressure to be varied independently of the vacuum in the Van De Graaff drift tube. Attached to the target chamber was an aluminum manifold system through which the target gases were admitted. The pressure in the chamber was measured by two Wallace and Tiernan gauges, one with a 0-50 torr scale with an error of \pm .02 torr and the other with a 0-800 torr scale with an error of \pm 5 torr.

The target chamber's vacuum system consisted of an oil diffusion pump and an independent fore pump which was also connected to the water vapor source. The water vapor source. The water vapor source was a closed pyrex glass container of approximately 1 l volume which opened to the manifold system, fore pump, and inlet funnel thru nylon stopcocks. The air in this container could be evacuated and a maximum vapor pressure of approximately 24 torr could usually be obtained.

The target gas was excited and ionized by a proton beam of about 1 microamp and energy of about 1.5 MeV. The beam terminated



FIGURE 1. Experimental Apparatus and Associated Electronics



in a faraday cup and the total beam charge was accumulated in two 1 mf capacitors. The voltage was then measured by a Kiethley Instrument 150B electrometer. The intensity of the radiation from the excited target gas was measured at 90° from the proton beam by a 15 cm focal length quartz lens which focused the light through a Princeton Applied Research Model 125, mechanical chopper into the entrance slits of a Jerral Ash monochrometer which was set at the desired wavelengths. The resolving power of the monochrometer was 10Å about the central wavelength being measured. The light then passed into an Electro Optics Associates type PM-101 cooled photomultiplier assembly with a Dumont 6467 pm tube which counted the photons. From the pm tube the electrical pulses were sent to an Ortec preamplifier, model 101; then to a Canberra amplifier, model 810; and from there to a Canberra pulse height discriminator, model 830. The monochrometer was aligned to the desired wavelength by sending the output of the pm tube to a Princeton Applied Research lock-in amplifier, model H-8, so the spectial line signal could be peaked prior to the experimental run.

Leaving the discriminator, the pulses went into two Canberra scalers which were controlled by a transistorized switching network. The scalers were gated such that one scaler counted during the period of time that the pm tube was receiving light from the target chamber, and the other scaler counted during the dark periods when the pm tube was shielded by the mechanical chopper. Thus one scaler counted photons, background and dark current pulses while the other scaler counted only dark current and background pulses. Concurrently, another Canberra scaler served as a clock by counting time pulses. All three scalers were also manually



FIGURE 2. Target Chamber and Target Area Instrumentation Front View

FIGURE 3. Target Chumber and Target Area Instrumentation Rear View





gated such that when a specific charge was recorded on the KI 150B electrometer, the counting and timing scalers were stopped and the proton beam was interrupted by a solenoid. The overall system dead time was calculated to be approximately 1.75×10^{-6} sec.

Using the apparatus described above, relative intensity (I) versus pressure (P) data was recorded and plotted. Intensity was determined in part by counting the individual photons given off by the reacting gases. From the corrected photon count (TC), the relative intensity (I) was determined to be

$$I = \frac{TC}{Q} \tag{1}$$

where (Q) represents the specific charge indicated by the KI 150B when the scalers were stopped. The corrected photon count was determined to be

$$TC = \frac{C}{1 - \frac{C}{7} - DC} \qquad (2)$$

where (c) represents the scaler count of photons, background and dark current, and (DC) represents the scaler count of only background and dark current. The count (c) was in turn corrected for dead time by the expressions in the denominator. Here (t) represents the counting period in seconds and (7) the system dead time. To reduce the dark current resulting from thermionic emissions the pm assembly was cooled by a liquid nitrogen cooling system.

Since the counting rate could be varied by changing the beam current, the proton beam was maximized on the KI 410 micro-micro ammeter using the focus adjust at the beginning of each experiment. A maximized signal and an appropriate pulse height discriminator setting then assured a suitable signal to noise ratio. The proton energy was maintained at an energy of approximately 1.5 MeV during

each experiment and for any one counting period the pressure remained constant. The temperature of the target gases likewise remained constant during each counting period. The accumulated proton charge varied somewhat with each counting period because of the manual gating which likewise varied the counting times. With the aid of a Hewlett Packard 9100A calculator and 9125A calculator plotter, relative intensity versus pressure curves were plotted for the various experimental data and are found in Figures 4 through 11. Both the molecular nitrogen first negative and second positive band systems were used. The slits on the monochrometer through which the light passed were perpendicular to the beam path and so situated in conjunction with the quartz lens that only the reaction spectrum within 1 to 2 mm of the aluminum window was passed into the monochometer. In this region, the energy loss of the beam was negligible.

The target gas was nitrogen and water vapor mixed together at predetermined pressures. The standard experimental procedure was to admit water vapor into the reaction chamber and permit the vapor pressure to stabilize over a period of about one hour. This delay was necessitated by the absorption of the water vapor into the aluminum and glass components of the target chamber. With the water pressure stabilized, research grade nitrogen was added to the target chamber in increments up to a maximum of approximately 400 torr. Before each incremental increase in pressure, pressure and intensity data were recorded. The Hewlett Packard 9100A calculator was also used for data reduction and theoretical calculations.

III. THEORY

A. BACKGROUND

Since a nitrogen gas-water vapor mixture was used as the target gas, the following excitation reactions could occur upon proton (H^{+}) impact

$$H^{+} + N_{2} - (N_{2}^{+})^{*} + H^{+} + e^{-}$$
 (3)

$$H^{+} + N_2 \longrightarrow N_2^{+} + H^{+} \tag{4}$$

The primary de-excitation reactions of the nitrogen first negative and second positive systems are as follows:

$$\left(N_{2}^{*}\right)^{*} + H_{2}O \longrightarrow N_{2}^{*} + H_{2}O + energy \tag{5}$$

$$N_2^* + H_2 0 \longrightarrow N_2 + H_2 0 + energy \tag{6}$$

The above represent those reactions specifically resulting from the presence of water vapor. In addition, the following reactions occur independently. The reactions are accompanied by the primary causation mechanism [4]:

 $(N_2^+)^* \longrightarrow N_2^+ + h\nu$ (radiation de-excitation) (7)

$$N_2^* \rightarrow N_2 + h\nu$$
 (radiation de-excitation) (8)

$$(N_z^{\dagger})^{\dagger} + N_2 \longrightarrow N_2^{\dagger} + N_2 + energy$$
 (collisional de-excitation) (9)

$$N_2^* + N_2 - 2N_2 + energy$$
 (collisional de-excitation) (10)

The general rate equation given below with appropriate assumptions was used to develop a set of theoretical equations from which the collisional de-excitation rate and the reaction cross section could be computed. It represents the rate of transition to and from a specific state.

$$\frac{dN_{k}}{dt} = pvN_{k} + \sum_{j>k} \lambda_{jk}N_{j}^{*} - \sum_{k>i} \lambda_{ki}N_{k}^{*} - \sum_{j} N_{k}^{*}K_{j}n_{j} + \sum_{m} \sum_{n} N_{n}K_{mn}n_{m}^{*}(11)$$

where

1. $\rho v N \nabla_k$ direct excitation to state k by protons (H⁺) where ∇_k is the excitation cross section, p is the proton beam density, N is the target density and v the proton velocity.

2. $\sum_{i,k} \lambda_{ik} N_i^*$ transitions from higher state 1 into state k where λ_{ik} is the transition probability of decay from state 1 into state k and N_i^* , is the number density of excited particles in state 1.

3. $\sum_{\substack{k\\k>i}} \lambda_{ki} \, N_k^*$ radiative loss by transitions from state k to lower state; where λ_{κ_i} is the transition probability of decay from state k into state 1 and N_k^* is the number density of excited particles in state k.

4. $\sum_{j} N_{k}^{*} k_{j} n_{j}$ collisional de-excitation of state k with particles n_{j} where k_{j} is the collisional de-excitation rate and n_{j} is the number density of particles of type j.

5. $\sum_{m} \sum_{n} N_{n} k_{mn} n_{m}^{*}$ collisional excitation to state k by collisions with m type particles in excited states n_{m}^{*} where n_{m}^{*} is the number density of particles in the excited state, k_{mn} is the collisional excitation rate, and N_{n} is the density of target particles.

In order to get the general rate equation into a usable form, the following assumptions were made.

1. $pv N \nabla_k = R_k$ proportional to pressure (p) in target chamber.

2. N* proportional to intensity (I).

3. n. proportional to partial pressure (p.).

4. $\sum_{k} \lambda_{ki} = \lambda$ constant for spectral line under study. 5. $\sum_{k} k_j = k$ constant for spectral line under study.

6. Ideal gas law valid in the pressure ranges used. It is generally held that the ideal gas law holds out to several atmospheres of pressure for most atmosphere gases; thus, the assumption that the ideal gas law is the equation of state is valid to a high degree [5]. It can also be shown then that since $p_j = n_j KT$, the number density of particles of type $j(n_j)$ is indeed proportional to the partial pressure of gas $j(p_i)$.

The first term was assumed to be a function only of the target gas pressure in the target chamber. This necessitated holding the incident proton beam energy constant during each experiment in order that the excitation cross sections which are energy dependent be considered constant for a given transition.

Transitions to states above k as reflected in the second term could result from direct interaction with the incident proton beam. This process was assumed to be proportional to the target gas pressure and hence was considered additive to the first term to simplify the rate equation.

In the third and forth terms, it was assumed that the transition probabilities were constant for the spectial line under study and that the number density of target gas particles N_k^* was proportional to the intensity (I). 15

The fifth term applies to collisional excitation and is eliminated from the rate equation because the excitation energy of the water molecules is insufficient to excite the nitrogen molecules. The latter assumption is also validated by the marked reduction in intensity when water vapor is mixed with nitrogen gas inincreasing amounts. If the water vapor at reaction chamber temperatures transferred any energy to nitrogen an enhancement of the nitrogen spectrum would have been observed.

Under conditions of equilibrium where excitation to state k is equal to de-excitation from state k and the above assumptions are applied, the general rate equation reduces to the form

$$\frac{dN_{k}}{dt} = 0 = R_{k} - \lambda N_{k}^{*} - k_{j} n_{j} N_{k}^{*}$$
(12)

or

$$aP = \lambda \delta I + k_j \frac{\delta}{kT} P I \tag{13}$$

where $R_{k} = aP$, $N_{k} = bI$ and $n_{j} = \frac{P_{j}}{KT}$ from the ideal gas law at constant volume.

B. NITROGEN WITH WATER VAPOR PRESENT

Research grade nitrogen was incrementally added to water vapor from singly distilled water and the resulting bombardment by protons created excited states in N_2 gas, equations (3) and (4). The initial experimental results indicated that the presence of water vapor acted to quench the $(N_2)^*$ and $(N_2^+)^*$ states by a much larger collisional de-excitation rate than that obtained with nitrogen alone [3].

Using the general rate equation with the assumptions listed in the previous section:
$$R_{k} = \lambda N_{k}^{*} + N_{k}^{*} \left(K_{N_{2}} n_{N_{2}} + K_{H_{2}0} n_{H_{2}0} \right)$$
(14)

or

$$aP_{N_2} = \lambda bI + bI \left(\frac{K_{N_2} P_{N_2}}{KT} + \frac{K_{H_2} P_{H_2}}{KT} \right)$$
(15)

and thus

$$P_{N_2} = \frac{\lambda b}{a} I + \frac{b}{a} \frac{I}{kT} \left(k_{N_2} P_{N_2} + k_{H_2 \delta} P_{H_2 \delta} \right)$$
(16)

This equation attempts to explain the behavior of the de-excitation of $(N_2)^*$ and $(N_2^+)^*$ in view of equations (12) and (13), and can be further reduced to:

$$P_{N_{2}} = A'I + (BP_{N_{2}} + CP_{N_{2}0})I$$
(17)

where in light of previous assumptions,

$$A' = \frac{\lambda b}{a} \qquad B = \frac{b}{a} \frac{k_{N_2}}{\kappa T}$$

and

$$C = \frac{b}{a} \frac{K_{H_2O}}{KT}$$

Equation (17) can be modified to provide an experimental means by which the unknown constants can be determined. First, a preliminary rearrangement yields

$$\frac{P_{N_z}}{I} = (R' + CP_{H_z0}) + BP_{N_z}$$
(18)

or

$$\frac{P_{N_2}}{I} = A + BP_{N_2} \tag{19}$$



with p_{N_2} (p_{N_2} found from $p_{N_2} = p - p_{H_20}$) and I known, plotting $p_{N_2/I}$ versus p_{N_2} for each incremental point resulted in a straight line over the pressures used. The constant A is found as the intercept of the plot with the $p_{N_2/I}$ axis and B is found as its slope. (A Hewlett Packard 9100A calculator was used in the above calculations.)

In addition, it is known that the ratio B/A' remains invariant within experimental error from experiment to experiment [2] so the value of A can be determined by

$$A' = B\left(\frac{A'}{B}\right) \tag{20}$$

and thus the value of C can be found

$$C = \frac{A - A'}{P_{H_2O}}$$
(21)

The only variables which now remain are intensity and total pressure. An equation which expresses their relation can be written

$$I = \frac{P_{N_2}}{(A' + BP_{N_2}) + CP_{H_20}}$$
(22)

Using this equation and the values of the constants obtained as above, intensities at various pressures were calculated and a theory curve was superimposed on the experimental points resulting from equation (1). A comparison of the predicted intensities shows excellent graphical correlation between experiment and theory.

The collisional de-excitation reaction rate for water vapor (K_{H_2O}) can be calculated as follows:

$$C = \frac{b}{a} \frac{K_{HLO}}{KT}$$
(23)

$$k_{H_{20}} = C \frac{kT}{A'\gamma}$$
¹⁸
⁽²³⁾

where the radiative lifetime, τ , is the reciprocal of the decay probability λ . Since there is one equation with two unknowns, K_{μ_0} and τ , to determine K required first establishing values of τ . Bennett and Dalby experimentally found the value of τ for the first negative (3914.4Å) and the second position (3371.3Å) band systems. These values are, respectively, $\tau = 6.58 \times 10^{-8}$ sec's and $\tau = 4.45 \times 10^{-8}$ sec's [6]. A dimensional analysis of equation (19) yields the units of A' to be (sec-cm⁻³) and B and C to be (sec-erg⁻¹) which is in agreement with equation (24).

The collisional de-excitation cross section (∇_{σ}) can be calculated from $N^{*}k_{j}n_{j} = N^{*}\nabla_{\sigma} \emptyset_{j}$ where \emptyset_{j} is the flux of particles of type j and ∇_{σ} represents the probability that a de-excitation collision will occur. But $\emptyset_{j} = \overline{V}_{r}n_{j}$ where \overline{V}_{r} is the mean relative velocity between particles. Thus

$$N^* k_j n_j = N^* \nabla_a \overline{V_r} n_j \tag{25}$$

or

$$\nabla_{H_20} = \frac{K_{H_20}}{V_F} \tag{26}$$

where

$$\overline{V_r} = \left[\frac{g_k T \left(m_i + m_2\right)}{\pi m_i m_2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(27)

for the nitrogen gas water vapor mixture. Masses m_1 and m_2 are the masses of nitrogen and water vapor, respectively [7].

IV. RESULTS

A. GENERAL

Below is a summary of the results obtained in this research for the collisional de-excitation reaction rates and the respective cross sections. A search through the current literature revealed no data concerning the nitrogen water vapor collisional deexcitation reactions to include as comparisons.

Transition	3914Å	3371Å	
K _{H20} (cm ³ -sec ⁻¹)	$8.82 \pm 3.6 \times 10^{-10}$	$2.02 \pm .72 \times 10^{-10}$	
$\sigma_{H_2^0}(cm^2)$	9.83 \pm 4.0 x 10 ⁻¹⁵	$2.26 \pm .81 \times 10^{-15}$	

TABLE I. Reaction Rates (K) and De-excitation Cross Sections (σ)

The experimental relationship of the intensity versus pressure is shown by Figures 4 through 11 for the first negative $(\lambda = 3914.4\text{\AA})$ and the second positive $(\lambda = 3371.3\text{\AA})$ transitions. Pressure/Intensity versus pressure plots are shown in Figures 12 and 13. The values of the de-excitation reaction rates and cross sections were found using equations (24) and (26). These values were verified by a comparison of the theoretical computation using equation (22) with the experimental results found in Figures 4 through 13 for the various target gas pressures.

B. DISCUSSION

The assumptions made in arriving at equation (18) seem to be valid in light of the close correlation between the experimental

results and the theoretical equations. Secondary excitation effects, such as excitation by high energy electrons and soft x-rays were neglected in arriving at equation (18). It was assumed that the only excitation processes were direct, and that this was proportional to the pressure of the target gas.

It was found that the second positive band system responded exactly in the same manner to proton bombardment as the first negative system. The relative intensity of the two lines increased at a decreasing rate with increasing N_2 gas pressure up until a total target gas pressure of approximately 100 torr after which the intensity increased slightly at a constant rate. The lines of the second positive transition however were observed to increase in intensity at a lesser rate than the first negative.

-



FIGURE 4. Intensity versus Pressure (3914Å). H+ on 4.2 Torr HzO, Balance N2. Experimental Data (X), Theory Comparison.



FIGURE 5. Intensity versus Pressure (3914 Å). Ht on 11.2 Torr H20, Balonce N2. Experimental Data (X), Theory Comparison.



FIGURE6. Intensity versus Pressure (3914 Å). H + on 15.8 Torr H20, Balance N2. Experimental Data (X), Theory Comparison.



FIGURE 7. Intensity versus Pressure (3914Å). H+ on 22.0 Torr H20, Balance N2. Experimental Data (X), Theory Comparison.



FIGURE 8. Intensity versus Pressure (3371Å). Ht on 4.3 Torr H20, Balance N2. Experimental Data (X), Theory Comparison.



FIGURE 9. Intensity versus Pressure (3371 Å). H+ on 11.0 Torr H20, Balance N2. Experimental Data (X), Theory Comparison.





FIGURE 10. Intensity versus Pressure (3371 Å). H+ on 15.8 Torr H2O, Balance N2. Experimental Data (X), Theory Comparison.



FIGURE 11. Intensity versus Pressure (3371Å). Ht on 22.0 Torr H20, Balance N2. Experimental Data (X), Theory Comparison.

-



FIGURE 12. Pressure / Intensity versus Pressure (3914 Å). H+ on 4.2 Torr H20, Balance N2. Experimental Data (X), Theory Companison.



FIGURE 13. Pressure / Intensity versus Pressure (3371 Å). Ht on 4.3 Torr H20, Balance N2. Experimental data (X), Theory Comparison.



BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. D.L. Cover, <u>Collisional Excitation Rates for the First</u> <u>Negative and Second Positive Systems of Nitrogen</u>, Naval Postgraduate School Thesis (1971).
- 2. A.R. Smelley, <u>Reaction Rates for Collisional De-excitation of</u> <u>the B² State of N, and the C³ State of N</u>, Naval Postgraduate School Thesis (1969).
- 3. R.F. Walters, <u>Collisional De-excitation Rates for the First</u> <u>Negative and Second Positive Systems of Nitrogen</u>, Naval Postgraduate School Thesis (1970).
- C.A. Blank (Project Officer)., <u>DASA Reaction Rate Handbook</u>, Santa Barbara: DASA Information and Analysis Center, General Electric, Tempo, 1967.
- 5. M.N. Zemansky, <u>Heat and Thermodynamics</u>, 4th Ed., McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1957.
- 6. R.G. Bennett and F.W. Dalby, Experimental Determination of the Oscillator Strength of the First Negative Bands of N_2 , J. Chem. Phs., <u>31</u>, 434 (1959).
- 7. E.W. MacDaniel, <u>Collision Phenomena in Ionized Gases</u>, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1964.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No. Copies
1.	Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	2
2.	Library, Code 0212 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	2
3.	Professor E.A. Milne Department of Physics Code 61Mn Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
4.	CAPT. Lynn J. Kimball 1373 Circle Avenue Seaside, California 93955	1
5.	Dr. Leonard Rudlin Air/Ground Explosions Division Naval Ordnance Laboratory Silver Springs, Maryland 20910	1

Unclassified				
Security Classification				
DOCUMENT CONT	ROL DATA - R & D			
Security classification of the, body of abstract and indexing	annotation must be antered	i when the ov	erall report is classified)	
ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	2 8. R	EPORT SEC	URITY CLASSIFICATION	
Naval Postgraduate School		Unclassified		
Monterey, California 93940	2b. G	ROUP		
REPORT TITLE				
Collisional De-excitation Rates for the	he First Negati	ive and	Second Positive	
Systems of Nitrogen by Water Vapor				
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)				
Master's Thesis; December 1971				
AUTHOR(5) (First name, middle initial, last name)				
Lynn J. Kimball				
	T			
REPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. OF PAG	E\$ 7	b. NO. OF REFS	
December 1971	35		7	
. CONTRACT OF GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINATOR'S REP	ORT NUMBE	(R(3)	
D. PROJECT NO.				
	AN OTHER REPORT NO	(S) (Any othe	t numbers that may be exclored	
••	this report)		nemoere met may be easigned	
d.				
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT	1			
Approved for public release: distribut	tion unlimited			

I. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY
	Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940

Nitrogen-water vapor mixtures were excited by 1.5 MeV protons from a Van De Graaff generator. Intensity versus pressure data were recorded and plotted for the first negative $(\mathcal{B}^2 \Sigma'_{\mu} \rightarrow \chi^2 \Sigma'_{g})$ transition $(\lambda = 3914.4\text{Å})$, and second positive $(\mathcal{C}^3 \pi_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^3 \pi_{g})$ transition $(\lambda = 3371.3\text{\AA})$ in nitrogen. Theoretical equations were derived for the relative intensity as a function of pressure. Using these equations in conjunction with experimental data, the following reaction rates, k, given in units of cm³-sec⁻¹, and collisional de-excitation cross sections, ∇ , given in units of cm², were calculated: $k = 8.82 \pm 3.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec}$, $\nabla = 9.83 \pm 4.0 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$ for the de-excitation of the $\mathcal{B}^2 \Sigma'_{\mu}$ state of N_2^+ by H_2^0 ; k $= 2.02 \pm .72 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3/\text{sec}$, $\nabla = 2.26 \pm .81 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$ for the de-excitation of the $\mathcal{C}^3 \pi_{\mu}$ state of N_2 by H_2^0 .

34


Unclassified

· Security Classification

KEY WORDS	KEY WORDS LINK A		LINKB		LINKC	
	ROLE	wт	ROLE	wτ	ROLE	ΨT
Nitrogen						
Nitrogen-Water Vapor						
Collisional de-excitation						
Cross-sections						
Reaction rate						
•						
· ·						
	1					
			1			



.

133885

Thesis K4214 Kimball c.1 Collisional de-excitation rates for the first negative and second positive systems of nitrogen by water vapor.

133885

Kimball Collisional de-excitation rates for the first negative and second positive systems of nitrogen by water vapor.

Thesis K4214

c.1

